



Sú revolúcie v Tunise a Egypte záležitosťou klanu Rotschildovcov?

Vasiliev Michail

Темы: [Ближний Восток](#), [Политика в мире](#), [Тунис](#), [Массовые протесты в Тунисе](#), [Народные волнения в странах арабского мира](#)

Čím dlhšie trvá kríza v Lýbii, tým očividnejší sa javí fakt, že vystúpenie proti Kaddáfího (*socialistického*) režimu v žiadnom prípade nie je „ľudovou revolúciou“. Skôr je možné hovoriť o hrubej intervencii do vnútorných vecí suverénneho štátu zo strany nadnárodných korporácií, krvavo zainteresovaných na tom, aby pribrali do svojich chamtivých rúk ďalší, v podstate malý kúsok v podobe lýbijských ropných ložísk. No aj tak sa dá povedať, že 3% celosvetových zásob čierneho zlata – to nie sú nejaké „drobné“ – kvôli tomu sa už vyplatí podujat' sa na lúpež, ak nie zvrhnutie Kaddáfího, prehláseného médiami za „diktátora“.

No ak je tak, pod'me si posvietiť na druhú otázku. „Občianskej“ vojne v Lýbii predchádzala - ako je známe – „revolúcia“ v Tunise a Egypte. Neboli aj tie inšpirované zvonka? Nestojí za „veľkou nespokojnosťou ľudu“, (ktorá zmietla režimy, aké tam desaťročia vládli) nejaká sila, ktorá si tak cudzími rukami rieši svoje vlastné problémy? Ale čo! Opäť konšpiračná teória! Nakoniec nič také nepíšu oficiálne médiá ani v USA, ani v EÚ, ani v Rusku!

No ak sa trochu prehrabeme v Internete (*a vypočujeme si ľudí ktorí v regióne žijú, alebo sú s ním v stálom kontakte*) tak narazíme na zaujímavé analýzy, ktoré určite majú plné právo na posúdenie verejnosťou.

Internetový zdroj PuppetWorld publikoval materiál [материал](#), (*link v angličtine*) ktorý potvrdzuje, že za udalosťami v Tunise a Egypte stojí nie neznámy klan Rotschildovcov. Motívom je snaha o zničenie svojich potenciálnych konkurentov - islamske banky. Tieto banky, ako tvrdí autor komentára nehľadiac na krízu a jej dôsledky sa rýchlo rozvíjajú, pričom sú oveľa stabilnejšie, ako tie západné.

Tu je treba povedať niečo o islamskom bankovníctve. K základným pravidlám, podľa ktorých fungujú patrí všeobecný zákaz úrokov (úžery), zákaz špekulácií, hazardných hier, lotérií atď. Dá sa to nazvať sociálnymi a etickými podmienkami ekonomického podnikania. Osobitne sa na to vzťahujú aj zákaz investovania do výroby a distribúcie alkoholu, prostitúcie, pornografie, spracovanie bravčoviny pre trh a tiež mäsa zvierat ktoré boli zabitú spôsobom nezlučiteľným so šariátom. Podstata islamskej ekonomiky je najmä zákaz úrokov a obchodovania so zmluvami o budúcej zmluve. Ako metódu práce možno najbližšie charakterizovať „projektové investovanie“, ktoré je spojené s rozdelením riziku, podielovou účasťou. Banka neberie úroky, banka zisťuje u človeka ktorý chce od nej peniaze, jeho obchodný plán, analyzuje riziky...*“Islamska ekonomika vychádza z pozície, že „peniaze smrdia“.* *Napríklad nemôžete používať peniaze získané predajom alkoholu ani v tom prípade, ak ste ten alkohol predali pijúcim nemoslimom“.* Takto charakterizoval zvláštnosti tohto spôsobu vedenia obchodu predseda islamského výboru Ruska Gejdar Džemal'.

Je samozrejme očividné, že pri takomto prístupe k vedeniu obchodu sú islamske banky potenciálne schopné pritiahnúť k sebe veľký počet klientov dokonca aj z Európy, kde ako vieme percento moslimského obyvateľstva rýchlo rastie. Tento názor bol rozšírený aj svetovými masmédiami.

„Nehľadiac na to, že v súčasnosti je situácia nepriaznivá, potenciál islamskeho bankingu v Egypte je ohromný a dá sa očakávať nové pôsobenie Islamskej Banky Abu-Dabí v Egypte, s možnosťou využitia kontrolných balíkov akcií „ napísalo vydanie Exekutive. V poslednej správe Business Intelligence pre Blízky Východ bol tento názor vyjadrený najlepšie:

„ak sa Islamskej Banke Abu-Dabí podarí nápad so zavedením služieb pre moslimov, tak sa jej otvorí celý trh. Už sme videli, ako niektoré miestne banky začínajú dávať do pohybu svoje islamske produkty v súvislosti s nadchádzajúci veľkým dopytom klientov, ktorý sa, ako predpokladajú, už-už začne.“

V článku The New York Times **„Islamsky banking sa dvíha vďaka ropnému bohatstvu, doháňajúc nemoslimský sektor“**, ktorý bol zverejnený v novembri 2009 sa píše: „ ropné bohatstvo podmienilo rast islamského bankovníctva, v ktorom sa v súlade so zákonmi Koránu zakazuje úžera. Ako doplnok k islamským kreditom existujú islamske obligácie a kreditné karty. Tieto kredity a obligácie, ktoré neprotirečia Koránu, sú už dostupné v USA. Tento biznis má už v súčasnosti globálny charakter, tvrdí Havadža Mohamed Salman Junis, generálny riaditeľ divízie Kuwait Finance House – druhej najväčšej islamskej banky v Malajzii. **„v nasledujúcich 3-5 rokoch budeme svedkami objavenia sa islamských bánk v Austrálii, Číne, Japonsku a ďalších oblasti sveta“** – prehlásil.

Takto sa najväčšie európske bankové domy vrátane Rotschildov ocitli pred hrozbou, že prídu o svoje zisky. Tým viac, že v máji r. 2010 otvoril El-Materi, zať zvrhnutého prezidenta Tunisu Ben Aliho (*ktorý teraz leží v kóme po mozgovej príhode*) prvú Islamsku Banku v Tunise – BANK ZITUNA. Jej otvorenie bolo prvým krokom nového programu prezidenta na zavedenie všeobecných reforiem, ktoré by urobili z Tunisu **„CENTRUM BANKOVÝCH SLUŽIEB A FINANČNÉ CENTRUM REGIÓNU“**. (*celej severnej Afriky*)

Toto všetko - podľa presvedčenia autora článku v Puppet World – podrývalo moc a zisky centrálnej banky štátu, ktorú ovládajú Rotschildovci a ich spoluvlastníci. Otvorený úder nenechal na seba dlho čakať. Hneď na druhý deň po tom, ako boli uväznených 33 členov okolo Ben Aliho za „zločiny proti ľudu“- 20. januára 2011 bola prvá islamska Banka ZITUNA pohľtená Centrálnou Bankou Tunisu (teda v princípe bankou kontrolovanou Rotschildovcami)

Ako tvrdí PuppetWorld súčasné arabské „revolúcie“ sa uskutočňujú pod zámienkou ustanovenia demokracie a zvrhnutia despotov, ale ich skutočný cieľ je spočiatku vyvolať chaos a vákuum vo vedení na to, aby potom navrhli riešenie zvonka: Dosadiť bábkovú vládu, ktorá bude poslušná Rotschildovcom. „Rotschildovci chcú, aby moslimovia prešli od „politického útlaku krutých diktátorov“ do ekonomického otroctva pod kontrolou bankára lorda Rotschilda... Občania dostanú slobodu slova a mítingov, ale sa stanú ekonomickými otrokmi“ (*presne tak, ako u nás*) - zdôrazňuje sa v materiále.

Všetky tieto „revolúcie“ - tvrdí sa v materiále – boli najpravdepodobnejšie koordinované na najvyššej úrovni Medzinárodnej krízovej skupiny (International Crisis Group) Rotschildov. Mohamed Al-Baradei, ktorý sa vynoril ako nový vodca Egypta, sa javí ako hovorca Medzinárodného krízového štábu. Druhý člen jeho direktória je Zbigniew Brzezinski. A ďalší starý známy – George Soros – zasadá v jeho výkonnom výbore...

Arabské „revolúcie“ prebiehajú podľa rovnakej schémy, ako známe „nenásilné farebné revolúcie“. S úspechom boli uskutočnené v Gruzínsku r. 2003 - „revolúcia ruží“, ďalej v Ukrajine r. 2004 - „oranžová revolúcia“, v Libanone „cédrová revolúcia“, potom v Kirgizsku v marci 2005 – „tulipánová revolúcia“ a teraz v Tunise – „jazmínová revolúcia“. Iránska „zelená revolúcia“ v r. 2009 bola však neúspešná (*preto tam Izrael chce nahádzať A bomby !!!*)

Prvá takáto „revolúcia“ bolo zvrhnutie srbského prezidenta Slobodana Miloševića v prvej línii so silami mládežníckeho hnutia „ODPOR“, ktorého aktivisti vyhlásili, že inštrukcie dostali od spolupracovníkov amerického Inštitútu Alberta Einsteina, ktorý je následne financovaný z Fondu (Nadácie) Soroša a Národnou nadáciou podpory demokracie. Dokazujú to aj filmové zábery z Egypta, kde mali viacerí organizátori pouličných nepokojov oblečené „futbolky“, teda tričká hnutia „Otpor“.

V r. 2007-2008 realizovala Sorošom financovaná organizácia Freedom House program „**Nové Pokolenie Advokátov**“. Program financovaný v rámci Blízkovýchodného partnerstva bol určený na podporu mladých aktivistov občianskej spoločnosti, pracujúcich pre mierové politické zmeny na Blízkom Východe a v Severnej Afrike. V Tunise stáli na čele tejto kampane „**Advokáti proti korupcii**“. Ako ohlásil Freedom House, skupina „**žurnalistov, advokátov a ďalších aktivistov, vystupujúcich za demokraticé reformy**“ sa stretla s vtedajšou štátnou sekretárkou Kondolízou Raisovou v rámci svojej cesty do Washingtonu, k Medzinárodnému dňu ľudských práv 10. decembra 2008.

V máji 2009 sa so skupinou aktivistov-disidentov stretla už nová štátna sekretárka - Hillary Clintonová. Freedom House na svojej web stránke oznámila, že skupina navštívila aj „**štátnych úradníkov USA, členov Kongresu, masmédií a analytické centrá...**“ Po návrate do Egypta chlanci dostali nevelké granty na realizáciu pokrokových iniciatív, aké propaganda politických reforiem prostredníctvom spoločenskej siete Facebook a médií presadzuje. V roku 2010 prefinancoval Sorošov inštitút „Otvorenej spoločnosti“ grant s názvom: „**Dá sa pomocou „Twitteru“ nájsť cesta k demokracii? Perspektíva účasti médií v Afrike**“ Treba pripomenúť, že najmä „Facebook“ a „Twitter“ sa stali základnými prostriedkami organizovania revolúcie v Egypte (*a Tunise*). TREBA EŠTE NEJAKÉ DOKAZOVANIE ???

REZUMÉ: „Narodná nadácia pre podporu demokracie“ a George Soroš natrieskali milióny USD do prípravy severoafrických učiteľov, advokátov, žurnalistov a mládežníckych aktivistov. (*fíha, a kde nechali hercov? Tam sa asi divadlo veľmi nehrá !?*) Od r. 2009 viac než zdvojnásobili ich výukový program. Je to náhoda, že následne sa skončila mnohoročná vláda režimov v Egypte a Tunise ? KAŽDÝ SI MÔŽE SÁM NÁJSŤ ODPOVEĎ NA TÚTO OTÁZKU...

No... už mi je jasnejšie, čo presne sleduje Radičová a jej mafia tou reformou súdництва. Ako vysoká funkcionárka Sorošovej nadácie na Slovensku, musí za tie dotácie zriadiť niečo na spôsob egyptského „Nového Pokolenia Advokátov“. Prvý krok je verejná voľba generálneho prokurátora v parlamente, kde má zatiaľ väčšinu hlasov...

Темы: [Ближний Восток](#), [Политика в мире](#), [Тунис](#), [Массовые протесты в Тунисе](#), [Народные волнения в странах арабского мира](#)

[Wikileaks Is A Rothschild Operation: Rothschilds Use Wikileaks To Wound Rival Bank, Julian Assange's Bail Posted By Rothschilds' Sister-in-law](#) says:

(Wikileaks je operácia Rotschildovcov: Rotschildovci používajú Wikileaks na poškodenie konkurenčných bánk, Julian Assangeova ...)

[Rothschilds Stage Revolutions in Tunisia and Egypt To Kill Islamic Banks In Emerging North African Markets](#)

[70 Comments »](#)

PwP Exclusive ©Feb 9 2011 (link to this page or at least give the source)

Background: Tunisia has undergone increasing economic liberalization over the last decade: In the 2010-2011 World Economic Forum's *Global Competitiveness Report*, it was ranked as the most competitive country in Africa, as well as the 32nd most economically competitive country globally. North Africa's large Muslim populations are a vast business opportunity for Islamic banking and other businesses.



Jacob Rothschild, senior member of the British branch of the Rothschild dynasty

Contrary to popular belief, the world's finances are controlled by privately-owned "central banks" masquerading as federal government banks in nearly every country in the world [The U.S. Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, ruled that The Federal Reserve (U.S.' central bank) was privately owned in 680 F.2d 1239, LEWIS v. UNITED STATES of America, No. 80-5905].

Though it is a carefully guarded secret, the Rothschilds and their associates own most the shares in the central banks ([Federal Reserve Directors: A Study of Corporate and Banking Influence](#), Committee on Banking, Currency and Housing, House of Representatives, 1976, Charts 1-5) (Mullins, Eustice [Secrets of the Federal Reserve](#) 1983). With extremely little government input, the economies of Tunisia, Egypt, Yemen, Jordan, and Algeria are strictly controlled by the Rothschild's central banks and their International Monetary Fund.

THE MOTIVE: FOLLOW THE MONEY

Islamic banks have been eating into Rothschild profits in the Middle East because: they don't charge interest (Shariah Law), they are growing very rapidly among the world's exploding Muslim populations, and (in these catastrophic economic times) they are more stable than western banks.

While it is a very good thing that people are freed from the tyranny of dictators, they also need to be freed from the tyranny of economic control and serfdom. The relevant moral question is: Do the means justify the end?.



Ben Ali's son-in-law El Materi at the opening of his Zitouna Bank, North Africa's first Islamic bank, last May

Deposed Tunisian President Ben Ali's son-in-law, Sakher El Materi, opened Tunisia's first Islamic bank, Zitouna Bank, on May 26, 2010. Zitouna Bank is *the first Islamic bank in the Maghreb region* [North Africa]. The bank was a first step toward Ben Ali's new program of extensive reforms, "Tunisia, a Pole for Banking Services and a Regional Financial Centre", which would have undermined the power and the profits of the Central Bank of Tunisia (privately-owned by the Rothschilds and their associates).



Tunis Financial Harbour opened last October 19. Its the first offshore finance centre in North Africa.

The Telegraph (October 19 2010) reported on the opening of the megaproject Tunis Financial Harbour –President Ben Ali’s bid to make Tunisia the regional financial centre of North Africa and beyond: “Islamic investment bank Gulf Finance House (GFH) and the Tunisian government have created the first offshore finance centre in North Africa. The centre will be part of Tunis Financial Harbour, a \$3 billion waterfront development in Tunis . . . GFH, which is based in Bahrain, hopes the centre will allow Tunisia to take advantage of its strategic position on the Mediterranean sea, and operate as a bridge between the EU and the rapidly growing economies of North Africa [and subSaharan Africa].”

“However, despite the current poor climate, the potential for Islamic banking in Egypt is huge, and one should expect more moves from Abu Dhabi Islamic Bank into Egypt, possibly in the form of a buyout,” Executive Magazine (Feb 8 2011) reports, “A recent Middle East Business Intelligence report said it best, when it opined, ‘If Abu Dhabi Islamic Bank can make a success of offering Islamic products, the whole market will open up. We have already seen some of the local banks start to advertise their Islamic products in view of the competition for customers they see about to begin.’

“Clearly Islamic banks in the Gulf are already anticipating the day when their home markets are saturated. And it appears that Egypt will be on the next front-line in the development of regional Islamic banking and finance.”

“African countries such as Algeria, Egypt, Libya, Morocco, Tunisia and Sudan are keen on future sukuk exercises (issuing Islamic bonds). Gambia debuted with a US\$166m sukuk deal, privately sold in the US in 2006.” [International Finance Review (Reuters), 2008]

The New York Times article “Islamic banking rises on oil wealth, drawing non-Muslims” (November 22, 2007) reported: “Rising oil wealth is lifting Islamic banking – which adheres to the laws of the Koran and its prohibition against charging interest – into the financial mainstream. . . . In addition to Islamic loans, there are Islamic bonds, Islamic credit cards . . . Loans and bonds that conform to the Koran are already available in the United States. . . .

“‘This is an industry on its way from a niche industry to becoming a truly global industry,’ said Khawaja Mohammad Salman Younis, the managing director for operations in Malaysia for Kuwait Finance House, the world’s second-largest Islamic bank. ‘In the next three to five years you’ll see Islamic banks coming out in Australia, China, Japan and other parts of the world.’

“In Islamic banking, financiers are required to share borrowers’ risks, meaning that depositors are treated more like shareholders, earning a portion of profits. Financing deals resemble lease-to-own arrangements, layaway plans, joint purchase and sale agreements, or partnerships.

“The stampede into Islamic finance is mostly an effort to tap an estimated \$1.5 trillion of funds sloshing around the Middle East, largely from higher oil prices. . . .Those investments have helped ignite an economic revival throughout the Muslim world at a time of increasing religious conservatism among Islam’s 1.6 billion faithful. A result is expanding demand for financial services that adhere to Islamic law . . .

“And while the biggest Islamic banks are in the wealthy Gulf states, **the most attractive potential markets are in Turkey and North Africa** (emphasis added) and among European Muslims. . . .

“. . . even non-Muslims are taking advantage of a growing range of Islamic products offering competitive returns. For instance, David Ong-Yeoh, a public relations executive tired of fretting over the rising interest rate on his adjustable rate mortgage, refinanced to a 30-year fixed loan from an Islamic financial institution. Now, he pays regular installments that include a predetermined profit margin for the bank.

“‘The terms are better than on conventional loans,’ said Ong-Yeoh, 41.

“Islamic finance also avoids other prohibited practices. Shariah-compliant bankers cannot receive or provide funds for anything involving alcohol, gambling, pornography, tobacco, weapons or pork. Proponents of Islamic banking say these are limits any socially conscious investor can support, Muslim or not. They also envision wider appeal for Islamic banking’s ban on interest, which stems from the Koran’s prohibition against usury.

“This is a view that has a long religious and historical tradition. Interest is repeatedly condemned in the Bible. Aristotle denounced it, the Romans limited it, and the early Christian church prohibited it. . . .

“The belief that all interest charges are unjust now underpins Islamic finance. . . . Hoarding is frowned upon in the Koran, so savings earn no return unless put to productive use.

“‘Money should be used for creating better value in the country or the economy,’ Maraj said. ‘Money cannot generate money.’

“Nor can Islamic banks simply trade money. ‘In the Islamic finance model, the banks are supposed to mobilize funds through a fund management concept,’ said Rafe Haneef, head of Islamic banking in Asia for Citigroup.

“Indeed, Islamic banking is supposed to function more like private equity firms than conventional banking. ‘Private equity is an Islamic concept,’ Haneef said.

“Industry proponents say this risk-sharing requirement helps reduce the kind of abuses that led to the subprime mortgage mess in the United States. Scholars consider it un-Islamic to overload a customer with debt or invest in a company with excessive debt.”

The *Washington Post*, “Islamic Banking: Steady In Shaky Times” (Oct 31 2008), reported: “As big Western financial institutions have teetered one after the other in the crisis of recent weeks, another financial sector is gaining new confidence: Islamic banking. Proponents of the ancient practice, which looks to sharia law for guidance and bans interest and trading in debt, have been promoting Islamic finance as a cure for the global financial meltdown.

“This week, Kuwait’s commerce minister, Ahmad Baqer, was quoted as saying that the global crisis will prompt more countries to use Islamic principles in running their economies. U.S. Deputy Treasury Secretary Robert M. Kimmet, visiting Jiddah, said experts at his agency have been learning the features of Islamic banking.

“Though the trillion-dollar Islamic banking industry faces challenges with the slump in real estate and stock prices, advocates say the system has built-in protection from the kind of runaway

collapse that has afflicted so many institutions. For one thing, the use of financial instruments such as derivatives, blamed for the downfall of banking, insurance and investment giants, is banned. So is excessive risk-taking.

“The beauty of Islamic banking and the reason it can be used as a replacement for the current market is that you only promise what you own [contrast to western banks fractional reserve system]. Islamic banks are not protected if the economy goes down — they suffer — but you don’t lose your shirt,’ said Majed al-Refaie, who heads Bahrain-based Unicorn Investment Bank.

“The theological underpinning of Islamic banking is scripture that declares that collection of interest is a form of usury, which is banned in Islam. In the modern world, that translates into an attitude toward money that is different from that found in the West: Money cannot just sit and generate more money. To grow, it must be invested in productive enterprises.

“In Islamic finance you cannot make money out of thin air,’ said Amr al-Faisal, a board member of Dar al-Mal al-Islami, a holding company that owns several Islamic banks and financial institutions. ‘Our dealings have to be tied to actual economic activity, like an asset or a service. You cannot make money off of money. You have to have a building that was actually purchased, a service actually rendered, or a good that was actually sold.’

“Islamic bankers describe depositors as akin to partners — their money is invested, and they share in the profits or, theoretically, the losses that result. (In interviews, bankers couldn’t recall a case in which depositors actually lost money; this shows that banks put such funds only in very low-risk investments, they said.)”

It is easy to see why the Rothschilds and their network of conventional western banks would be threatened by competition from the more appealing, more conservative Islamic banks.

Late in 2008, French Finance Minister Christine Lagarde announced France’s intention to make Paris “the capital of Islamic finance” and said several Islamic banks would open branches in the French capital in 2009. French sources estimate this area of the financial market is worth from 500 to 600 billion dollars and could grow by an average 11 percent a year.

John Sandwick, managing director of Swiss asset management firm Encore Management, characterized the opening of several Swiss Islamic banks as, “the race to control the rich prize: which today is worth hundreds of billions, but in the future will be trillions of dollars of Islamic wealth.”

“According to Standard and Poor’s, Islamic banking assets reached about \$400 billion throughout the world in 2009. In November 2010, The Banker published its latest authoritative list of the Top 500 Islamic Finance Institutions with Iran topping the list. Seven out of ten top Islamic banks in the world are Iranian according to the list.” (iStockAnalyst, Feb 8, 2011)

BEN ALI’S SON OPENS FIRST ISLAMIC BANK IN ATTRACTIVE NORTH AFRICAN MARKET

Commenting on the opening of Zitouna (Islamic) Bank, International Business Times (May 28 2010) reported, “North Africa has begun to embrace Islamic finance after years watching from the sidelines, partly to channel more Arab Gulf petrodollars into the region. . . . Tunisia has one of the most open economies in the region and attracts substantial investment from the European Union, something that is expected to accelerate after 2014, when the government has said it will make the currency (the Tunisian dinar) fully convertible.”

Global Islamic Finance News (May 31, 2010) reported, “Zitouna Bank also seeks to impart a regional dimension on its activities, particularly in the Maghreb region [North Africa], all the more so that it is the first specialised bank not belonging to a foreign banking group,” and went on to add, “The Bank will also seek to forge strong relations with the Maghreb and Mediterranean banks to ensure needed flow of financial operations for its customers. The bank officials stressed that the financial institution has established relations with 12 Islamic banks in collaboration with the Institute of Islamic banks in Bahrain.

Zitouna bank’s formation had been announced earlier in the Official Gazette of the Republic of Tunisia on 10 September 2009. Tunisia and Morocco authorized Islamic finance in 2007, partly to channel more investment into their fast-growing tourism and real estate industries.

Due to his being the son-in-law of President Ben Ali, El Materi’s Zitouna Bank was expanding in Tunisia to the level of monopoly. El Materi had built a powerful business empire: he ran businesses in News and Media, Banking and Financial Services, Automotive, Shipping and Cruises, Real Estate and Agriculture, Pharmaceuticals and last November 22 he bought a 50% stake in Orascom Telecom for 0.2 billion.

The newly-opened Tunis Financial Harbour was on the brink of becoming the regional financial centre of North Africa and, with its strategic position on the Mediterranean sea, becoming a bridge between the EU and the rapidly growing economies of North Africa and subSaharan Africa.

On January 20 2011, ZItouna Bank, Tunisia’s first Islamic bank was seized by the Central Bank of Tunisia (Rothschilds). The bank owned by Sakher El Materi, the thirty-year-old son-in-law of deposed Tunisian leader Zine El Abidine Ben Ali has been placed under “the control” of the central bank. Materi is presently in Dubai. The move came a day after 33 of Ben Ali’s clan were arrested for crimes against the nation. State television showed what it said was seized gold and jewellery. Switzerland has also frozen Ben Ali’s family assets.

EGYPT’S ISLAMIC BANKS THREATENED BY ROTHSCHILD REVOLUTION: OLD MAN POTTER VS HARRY BAILEY



A still from the film "It's A Wonderful Life"

The following scenario is right out of the 1946, Frank Capra film *It's a Wonderful Life* with Old Man Potter (Rothschild) creating a run on Harry Bailey's traditional Savings and Loans (Islamic bank):

Islamic (halal) banking products have not made significant inroads in North Africa yet, except in Egypt. “. . . There are several Islamic banks operating in Egypt: Faisal Islamic Bank, Al Baraka Egypt (Al Ahram Bank) and Abu Dhabi Islamic Bank NBD . . . There may be others as well,” says Blake Goud, an expert on Islamic Finance (The Review – Middle East, Jan 31 2011), “. . . and the risks of a run on the bank should concern those interested in Islamic banking around the world because it could provide a test of how resilient Islamic banks really are to crisis.

“What I mean is that the Egyptian situation, which could be a fantastic opportunity for the Egyptian people, could expose a weakness within the Islamic banking industry if it is problematic. The main risk to any bank is that there is a run and the bank cannot meet depositor withdrawals with the cash available on hand. This forces the bank to raise cash from other means. In most cases, it can either get an inter-bank loan from another bank overnight that allows it to handle withdrawals. If other banks are hesitant to lend to a given bank because of fears of asset quality, then the bank will usually have access to an overnight borrowing facility with the central bank, which operates as the lender of last resort.

“The key for Islamic banks is that they are not able to take advantage of the inter-bank lending market, nor are they able to borrow from (or lend to) the central bank (emphasis added) because those loans are interest-bearing. The only alternative is to find other banks (mostly Islamic banks) willing to extend Shari'ah-compliant, bilateral loans often using commodity murabaha. In a country like Egypt where the Islamic banking industry is a small portion of the total banking system, it does not create a systemic risk if Islamic banks fail, but it does matter a lot to the depositors of other Islamic banks in the country and globally. If there is the potential that a run on an Islamic bank will not be stopped by someone; whether that is a foreign bank, a multi-lateral bank like the Islamic Development Bank or the central bank of Egypt (through emergency measures), then it could hurt confidence in Islamic banks.

“If neither of these options are available, the bank will have to try to raise funds by selling its assets, most of which (loans) are illiquid in the short run. It will have to take a loss on the sale to realize the cash it needs to meet withdrawals. If this continues and the bank sells enough assets at a discount to the value they are held on the balance sheet, the bank's equity will be negative (the value of assets minus liabilities) and it will become insolvent (having earlier only been illiquid). This is the fundamental danger in banking from a financial stability perspective. If enough banks face runs and have to sell assets, the run could become self-sustaining and contagious. Even a healthy bank facing a run can become insolvent....

In contrast, Bloomberg reports, “Egypt's banks may risk a surge in customer withdrawals when they open for business, placing them among companies worst hit by the nationwide uprising against President Hosni Mubarak. . . . Central Bank Governor Farouk El-Okdah said in a telephone interview Jan. 29 that his bank has \$36 billion in reserves, enough to accommodate investors should they wish to withdraw funds. His deputy, Hisham Ramez, said interbank lending “will function properly” when banks are reopened. He said the security situation will determine when that is possible.

“Asked about the risk of a bank run, Mohamed Barakat, chairman of state-run Banque Misr and head of the country's banking association, said in a telephone interview that Egyptian lenders are ‘very liquid,’” with average loan-to-deposit ratios of 53 percent. [...] “The Egyptian interbank offered rate, the rate banks charge to lend to each other, is at a 16-month high of 8.5 percent.”

THE MEANS: SPONSOR PRO-DEMOCRACY ACTIVISTS

These Rothschild revolutions are done under the pretense of bringing democracy and deposing despots, but the real aim is to initially create chaos and a leadership vacuum, then quickly offer a solution: install a puppet that will do the economic bidding of the Rothschilds. The citizens gain freedom of speech and association, but become economic serfs.

These revolutions are most likely coordinated at the highest levels by the Rothschild's International Crisis Group. Mohamed ElBaradei is already being touted as a new leader for Egypt. ElBaradei is a trustee of the International Crisis Group. Another board member of this group is Zbigniew Brzezinski. George Soros sits on the executive committee. The later two are ubiquitous front men for the Rothschilds.

The revolutions are from the same playbook as the fairly nonviolent "color revolutions". These revolutions have been successful in Serbia (especially the Bulldozer Revolution (2000), in Georgia's Rose Revolution (2003), in Ukraine's Orange Revolution (2004), in Lebanon's Cedar Revolution and (though more violent than the previous ones) in Kyrgyzstan's Tulip Revolution (2005), and Tunisia's Jasmine Revolution. Iran's Green Revolution (2009) was unsuccessful.



Liberal billionaire George Soros funded training of activists in North Africa.

The Guardian reported (Nov 26, 2004) that the following were "directly involved" in organizing the colour revolutions: George Soros' Open Society Foundation, the National Endowment for Democracy (NED), the International Republican Institute, and Freedom House. The Washington Post and the New York Times also reported substantial Western involvement in some of these events.

Activists from Otpor in Serbia have said that publications and training they received from the US based Albert Einstein Institution staff have been instrumental in the formation of their strategies. The Albert Einstein Institution is funded by the Soros Foundation and NED. (Wikipedia)

In the article, "Georgia revolt carried mark of Soros" (November 26, 2003), the *Globe & Mail* reported, "[Soros' Open Society Institute] sent a 31-year-old Tbilisi activist named Giga Bokeria to Serbia to meet with members of the Otpor (Resistance) movement and learn how they used street demonstrations to topple dictator Slobodan Milosevic. Then, in the summer, Mr. Soros's foundation paid for a return trip to Georgia by Otpor activists, who ran three-day courses teaching more than 1,000 students how to stage a peaceful revolution."



Egyptian activists wearing Otpor shirts. Otpor was started by Soros in Serbia and has trained activists in other colour revolutions

Several protest organizers on the streets in Egypt last week were wearing Otpor t-shirts. These t-shirts are given out by Otpor at training sessions. This is only to say that there may be a link here, between Soros and Tunisian protesters.

In 2007-08, Freedom House [funded by Soros and the Middle Eastern Partnership Initiative (MEPI)] ran the following program: “**New Generation of Advocates**, a MEPI-funded program that supports young civil society activists working for peaceful political change in the Middle East and North Africa, spearheaded the “Lawyers against Corruption” campaign in Tunisia.” (Freedom House website). The group of “journalists, lawyers, and other activists who advocate for democratic reform” had a meeting with then Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice, on a trip to Washington on International Human Rights Day, December 10, 2008. In May 2009, U.S. Secretary of State Hillary Clinton met with the group of activist/dissidents. Freedom House reported on their website that the group also visited “U.S. government officials, members of Congress, media outlets and **think tanks** . . . After returning to Egypt, the fellows received small grants to implement innovative initiatives such as advocating for political reform through **Facebook and SMS messaging.**” (emphasis added)

And also from the Freedom House website: “From February 27 to March 13 [2010], Freedom House hosted 11 bloggers from the Middle East and North Africa for a two-week Advanced New Media Study Tour in Washington, D.C.”

In 2010, Soros’ Open Society Institute funded a grant called ‘*Can It Tweet its way to Democracy? The promise of Participatory Media in Africa*’ described on the OSI website as “. . . Ethiopia and Egypt have been the current focus of the research programme; the OSI funding will allow the project to be expanded to include: Uganda, Zimbabwe, Tunisia, Eritrea and Rwanda. . . it is hoped that it will contribute to the understanding of the new media in Africa and its links to democratization. It is also intended that the study will be used as a source material for future research.”



AP | Getty Images Facebook and Twitter were the

primary means of organizing the revolution in Egypt: “Activists from Egypt’s Kifaya (Enough) movement – a coalition of government opponents – and the 6th of April Youth Movement organized the protests on the Facebook and Twitter” (Voice of America)

In the *Foreign Policy Journal*, Dr. D.K. Bolton (Jan 19 2011) writes, “NED [National Endowment for Democracy] and Soros work in tandem, targeting the same regimes and using the same methods. . . . At least ten of the twenty-two directors of NED are also members of the plutocratic think tank, the Council on Foreign Relations” (The Council of Foreign Relations is the American sister of the Rothschild’s *Royal Institute of International Affairs* in Britain: both are instruments of plutocratic control hiding in plain sight.

The following is a partial list of grants from the NED website for 2009 (the latest year available):

In Tunisia the focus was on training youth activists:

“Al-Jahedh Forum for Free Thought \$131,000 To strengthen the capacity and build a democratic culture among Tunisian youth activists.

“Mohamed Ali Center for Research, Studies and Training \$33,500 To train a core group of Tunisian youth activists on leadership and organizational skills to encourage their involvement in public life. [MACRST] will conduct a four-day intensive training of trainers program for a core group of 10 young Tunisian civic activists on leadership and organizational skills; train 50 male and female activists aged 20 to 40 on leadership and empowered decision-making; and work with the trained activists through 50 on-site visits to their respective organizations.

“Association for the Promotion of Education \$27,000 To strengthen the capacity of Tunisian high school teachers to promote democratic and civic values in their classrooms. APES will conduct a training-of-trainers workshop for 10 university professors and school inspectors, and hold three two-day capacity building seminars for 120 high school teachers”

The above organizations and others have been recipients of ongoing NED grants in Tunisia, as the following list from previous years indicates:

2008: Al-Jahedh Forum for Free Thought received \$57,000 to train Tunisian activists;

Mohamed Ali Centre for Research got \$37,800; Tunisian Arab Civitas Institute, \$43,000 for training teachers in “civic values” and the Center for International Private Enterprise, \$163,205 “to inculcate free enterprise doctrines among Tunisian businessmen, which reflects what NED is really aiming for in its promotion of “democracy and civil values”: globalization” (Bolton, 2011)

2007: AJFFT received \$45,000 to develop Tunisian Activists; The Arab Institute for Human Rights got \$43,900; The Center for International Private Enterprise (CIPE) \$175, 818; The Mohamed Ali Center for Research, Studies, and Training \$38,500; Moroccan Organization for Human Rights \$60,000 “To strengthen a group of young Tunisian attorneys as they mobilize citizens on reform issues.”

In Egypt, the number of NED grants doubled in 2009 to 33 democracy projects totaling \$1.4 million and the focus changed from promoting private enterprise to training young human-rights lawyers, and identifying and training youth activists. It will be interesting to see when (if?) NED publishes its 2010 grants. From the NED website—a sample of the grants for 2009:

Egyptian Union of Liberal Youth (EULY) \$33,300 To expand the use of new media among youth activists for the promotion of democratic ideas and values. EULY will train 60 youth activists to use filmmaking for the dissemination of democratic ideas and values. The Union will lead a total of four two-month long training workshops in Cairo to build the political knowledge and technical filmmaking skills of participating youth involved in NGOs.

Andalus Institute for Tolerance and Anti-Violence Studies (AITAS) \$48,900 To strengthen youth understanding of the Egyptian parliament and enhance regional activists’ use of new technologies as accountability tools. AITAS will conduct a series of workshops for 300 university students to raise their awareness of parliament’s functions and engage them in monitoring parliamentary committees. AITAS will also host 8 month-long internships for youth activists from the Middle East and North Africa to share its experiences using web-based technologies in monitoring efforts.

Bridge Center for Dialogue and Development (BTRD) \$25,000 To promote youth expression and engagement in community issues through new media. BTRD will train youth between the ages of 16 and 26 in the use of new and traditional media tools to report on issues facing their communities. BTRD will also create a website for human rights videos and new media campaigns in Egypt.

Egyptian Democracy Institute (EDI) \$48,900 To promote accountability and transparency in parliament through public participation, and to build legislative capacity. EDI will produce quarterly monitoring reports and hold seminars to discuss the overall performance of Parliament and offer recommendations on legislation proposed in the People’s Assembly. EDI will monitor, collect, and document evidence of corruption in Cairo and Alexandria

Lawyers Union for Democratic and Legal Studies (LUDLS) \$20,000 To support freedom of association by strengthening young activists’ ability to express and organize themselves peacefully within the bounds of the law. LUDLS will train 250 youth activists on peaceful assembly and dispute resolution

Our Hands for Comprehensive Development \$19,200 To engage Minya youth in civic activism and encourage youth-led initiatives and volunteerism. Our Hands will hold two public meetings for local youth to discuss challenges and to identify youth leaders who would benefit from additional training courses. Participants will produce a short film on youth political participation, and develop and implement action plans for resolving problems facing youth in the governorate. Our Hands will also provide Minya youth an opportunity to learn from the experience of and network with Cairo-based activists and NGOs.

“Youth Forum \$19,000 To expand and maintain a network of youth activists on Egyptian university campuses and to encourage the participation of university students in student union elections and civic activities on campus. . . .”

NED and Soros have been injecting millions of dollars into the training of North African, pro-democracy teachers, lawyers, journalists and youth activists. In 2009 they more than doubled their training efforts. Why, at this time, has the 30-year support of these dictators been undermined? The prize is the rapidly-rising economies of North Africa. It coincides with the efforts of Ben Ali to make Tunisia the financial center of North Africa and to promote Islamic banking. The Rothschilds want North African Muslims to borrow from Rothschild banks and pay interest at rates the Rothschild central bank decides: they do not want them to be able to borrow from Islamic banks and not pay any interest. The Rothschilds want Muslims to trade their present political oppression at the hands of brutal dictators for future economic serfdom under the control of banker Lord Rothschild.

Caution: This site is anti-plutocratic, not antisemitic. Bigotted comments will not be tolerated.